

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Nathan D. HALDERMAN, et al.

Serial No.: 09/617,104

Group Art Unit: 2823

Filed: July 14, 2000

Examiner: D. Collins

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR JET PRINTING A FLUX PATTERN SELECTIVELY ON FLIP-CHIP BUMPS

THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Response in the above identified application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☐ Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- ☐ A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- ☐ Also attached:


The fee has been calculated as shown below:


	NO. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEE
Total Claims	12	20	0	\$18.00 =	\$0.00
Independent Claims	2	3	0	\$84.00 =	\$0.00
Multiple claims newly presented					\$0.00
Fee for extension of time					\$0.00
					\$0.00
Total of Above Calculations					\$0.00

- ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 500417 in the amount of \$0.00. An additional copy of this transmittal sheet is submitted herewith.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 500417, including any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for presentation of extra claims and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY


John A. Hankins
Registration No. 32,029600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3096
(202) 756-8000 JAH:idw
Date: November 13, 2001
Facsimile: (202) 756-8087



Docket No.: 52352-507

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Jonathan D. HALDERMAN, et al.

Serial No.: 09/617,104

Filed: July 14, 2000

Group Art Unit: 2823

Examiner: D. Collins

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR JET PRINTING A FLUX PATTERN
SELECTIVELY ON FLIP-CHIP BUMPS

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

The following remarks are respectfully submitted in response to the Office Action dated September 13, 2001.

REMARKS

The following remarks are in response to the Office Action dated September 13, 2001. For the following reasons, this Application should be considered in condition for allowance and the case passed to issue.

Claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being unpatentable over Pine et al. Claims 7-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Pine et al. in view of Master et al. These rejections are hereby traversed and reconsideration and withdrawal thereof are respectfully requested. The following is a comparison of the present invention with Pine et al. and Master et al. references.